IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 4TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 13TH BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 60 of 1998(E)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.18315/1997 Dated 24/11/1997

.....

REVISION PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

ANTONY, S/O.VAREETH,
MANIKKATHAN HOUSE,
VADAKKUMBHAGAM, MANJAPRA,
ALUVA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.RAMAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
ERNAKULAM.

2. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER, SECTION OFFICE,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, MANJAPRA.

3. JOSE, S/O.SEBASTIAN, KOLATHUKUDY HOUSE,
MAJAPRA, ALUVA.

4. SAJEEV, S/O.BABY, KOLATHUKUDY HOUSE,
MANJAPRA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN, SC FOR K.S.E.B.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

R.P. No.60 of 1998 in
O.P. No.18315 of 1997

.....

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2007.

ORDER

R.P. was filed nine years back complaining that line drawn by KSEB involve cutting of rubber trees. However, I find from the judgment that line was already drawn when the O.P. was disposed of. All what this court permitted was to energise the line and no fresh line was authorised under the judgment. If any tree is cut as against branches permitted by this court, it is for the petitioner to claim compensation in accordance with law. R.P. is disposed of with the above observation.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms